

Note

KINETICS OF THE NON-ISOTHERMAL DECOMPOSITION OF SOME METAL DERIVATIVES OF 8-QUINOLINOL AND ITS DIHALO DERIVATIVES FROM DTG/DTA CURVES

S.S. SAWHNEY and A.K. BANSAL

Department of Chemistry, D.A.V. (P.G.) College, Dehra Dun 248001 (India)

(Received 7 January 1983)

Wendlandt [1] carried out thermogravimetric pyrolysis of 8-quinolinol and 5,7-dihalo-8-quinolinol chelates of scandium, thorium and uranium; Mittal et al. [2] investigated the kinetics of the thermal decomposition of metal chelates of diiodo-8-quinolinol with Ba(II), Mg(II) and Cd(II) using slope dependent methods [3–5] which were reviewed by Sawhney et al. [6] by non-slope dependent methods. [7]. Wendlandt and Horton [8] have reported the differential thermal analysis of some metal chelates of 8-quinolinol and substituted 8-quinolinols.

The purpose of the work described here was to estimate the kinetic parameters of the non-isothermal decomposition of $\text{UO}_2(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NOH}$, $\text{UO}_2(\text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\text{NO})_2 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\text{NOH}$, $\text{UO}_2(\text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Br}_2\text{NO})_2 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Br}_2\text{NOH}$, $\text{Th}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_4 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NOH}$, $\text{Th}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\text{NO})_4 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_2\text{NOH}$ and $\text{Th}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Br}_2\text{NOH})_4 \cdot \text{C}_9\text{H}_4\text{Br}_2\text{NOH}$, pyrolysed on a torque balance 0–100 mg range (Vereenigde Draadfabrieken, Nijmegen, Holland), with a heating rate of $\sim 4.5^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$, using Dave and Chopra's [7] procedures (1) and (2)

$$k = \frac{dx/dt}{A - a} \text{ for } n = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{-E/2.303R(T^{-1})}{\log(A - a)} = -n + \frac{\log dx/dt}{\log A - a} \quad (2)$$

and $\text{Cu}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Zn}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Mn}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 2 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Co}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_3 \cdot 1 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Pb}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 1.5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{UO}_2(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2$, and $\text{Cd}(\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{NO})_2 \cdot 1 \text{H}_2\text{O}$, the DTA curves of which were obtained on a Deltatherm (Technical Equipment Corp., Denver, CO) under controlled conditions of sample size, heating rate and furnace temperature, with the help of Borchardt and Daniels' plots [9] using the expression

$$k = \frac{\Delta T}{A - a} (n = 1) \quad (3)$$

where ΔT is the deviation from the base line, A is the total area of the DTA curve, a is the area at time t , and n is the reaction order.

TABLE 1

Kinetic parameters for the non-isothermal decomposition of 8-quinolinol and dihalo-8-quinolinol complexes from DTG curves

Method ^a	<i>n</i>	<i>E</i> (kcal molc ⁻¹)	<i>Z</i>
UO₂(C₉H₆NO)₂·C₉H₆NOH → UO₂(C₉H₆NO)₂ + C₉H₆NOH			
A	1	42.10	126.0
B	00.71	109.82	
UO₂(C₉H₆NO)₂ → U₃O₈ + organic matter			
A	1	183.04	0.32
B	0.82	411.84	
UO₂(C₉H₄Cl₂NO)₂·C₉H₄Cl₂NOH → U₃O₈ + organic matter			
A	1	6.41	9.1
B	0.98	5.49	
UO₂(C₉H₄Br₂NO)·C₉H₄Br₂NOH → U₃O₈ + organic matter			
A	1	4.58	7.9
B	0.58	3.66	
Th(C₉H₆NO)₄·C₉H₆NOH → Th(C₉H₆NO)₄ + C₉H₆NOH			
A	1	8.51	50.0
B	1.1	15.56	
Th(C₉H₆NO)₄ → ThO₂ + organic matter			
A	1	6.41	5.5
B	0.86	9.15	
Th(C₉H₄Cl₂NO)₄·C₉H₄Cl₂NOH → ThO₂ + organic matter			
A	1	6.86	9.1
B	1.14	9.15	
Th(C₉H₄Br₂NO)₄·C₉H₄Br₂NOH → ThO₂ + organic matter			
A	1	14.64	25.0
B	1.35	12.81	
Ba(C₉H₄I₂NO)₂ → BaCO₃ + organic matter			
A	1	5.72	3.16
B	0.64	5.49	
C	0.0	27.45	
D	1	28.76	
E	1	29.16	
Mg(C₉H₄I₂NO)₂ → Mgo + organic matter			
A	1	5.75	2.75
B	0.61	3.20	
C	0.0	18.06	
D	1	17.56	1.7 × 10 ⁻²
E	1	17.60	1.58 × 10 ⁻²
Cd(C₉H₄I₂NO)₂ → CdO + organic matter			
A	1	5.72	3.31
B	0.67	3.20	
C	0.0	29.16	
D	1	18.53	3.11 × 10 ⁻²
E	1	18.45	2.0 × 10 ⁻²

^a A = Dave and Chopra's method [eqn. (1)]; B = Dave and Chopra's method [eqn. (2)]; C = Freeman and Carroll's method; D = Coats and Redfern's method; E = Horowitz and Metzger's method.

EXPERIMENTAL

DTG curves were obtained from the TG curves due to Wendlandt [1]. For Borchardt and Daniels' plots, DTA curves recorded by Wendlandt and Horton [8] were directly used for the study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DTG and kinetics

A , a and dx/dt were determined from derivative thermogravimetric curves. values of $\log k$ from the Dave and Chopra expression for the first-order reaction

$$k = \frac{dx/dt}{A - a}$$

TABLE 2

Kinetic parameters for the pyrolytic desolvation/decomposition of metal-8-quinolinol complexes from DTA curves for Borchardt and Daniels' plot

n	E (kcal mole ⁻¹)	Z
Co(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₃ → Co ₃ O ₄ + organic matter		
1	76.27	1.90
Cd(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ · 1 H ₂ O → Cd(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ + 1 H ₂ O		
1	32.03	0.40
Pd(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ · 1.5 H ₂ O → Pb(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ + 1.5 H ₂ O		
1	18.30	0.33
Pb(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ → PbO + organic matter		
1	140.80	19.95
Co(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ · 2 H ₂ O → Co(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ + 2 H ₂ O		
1	32.03	0.32
UO ₂ (C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ → U ₃ O ₈ - organic matter		
1	146.43	3.98
Mn(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ · 2 H ₂ O → Mn(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ + 2 H ₂ O		
1	36.61	1.26
Zn(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ → ZnO + organic matter		
1	146.43	2.75
Cu(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ · 2 H ₂ O → Cu(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ + 2 H ₂ O		
1	27.73	0.72
Cu(C ₉ H ₆ NO) ₂ → CuO + organic matter		
1	67.79	2.75

corresponding to different temperatures were determined and plotted against the reciprocal of absolute temperature. The resulting linear plot for each reaction with $-E/2.303 R$ and $\log Z$ as the slope and intercept, respectively, gave the value of E and Z . Use of eqn. (2) involved a plot of $T^{-1}/\log(A - a)$ vs. $\log(dx/dt)/\log(A - a)$; the slope and intercept being used for the values of E , n . Table 1 lists the kinetic parameters for the non-isothermal decomposition of $\text{UO}_2(\text{II})$ and thorium(IV) complexes of 8-quinolinol and dihalo-8-quinolinol due to Dave and Chopra's expression.

DTA and kinetics

The kinetic data on the pyrolytic decomposition of solvated copper, manganese, cobaltous, cobaltic, plumbous, cadmium, and zinc quinolinolates and unsolvated uranyl quinolinolate (Table 2) were determined from Borchardt and Daniels' plots ($\log k$ vs. T^{-1}) which were linear in nature, the slope ($-E/2.303 R$) and the intercept ($\log Z$) giving the values of E and Z . The linearity of Borchardt and Daniels' plots in all the reactions shows that all the reactions under study follow first order kinetics.

Values of n , E , and Z estimated by different procedures (Tables 1 and 2) almost agreed and further low values of Z (collision number) led us to conclude that these reactions are slow processes.

REFERENCES

- 1 W.W. Wendlandt, *Anal. Chem.*, 24 (4) (1956) 499.
- 2 A. Mittal, A.D. Kelkar and G.V. Golap, *J. Indian Chem. Soc.*, 57 (1980) 517.
- 3 E.S. Freeman and B. Carroll, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 62 (1958) 394.
- 4 A.W. Coats and J.P. Redfern, *Nature (London)*, 201 (1964) 68.
- 5 H.H. Horowitz and G. Metzger, *Anal. Chem.*, 35 (1963) 1464.
- 6 S.S. Sawhney, R.M. Sati and A.K. Bansal, *Thermochim. Acta*, 49 (1981) 371.
- 7 N.G. Dave and S.K. Chopra, *Z. Phys. Chem., N.F.*, 58 (5/6) (1966) 257.
- 8 W.W. Wendlandt and G.R. Horton, *Anal. Chem.*, 34 (9) (1962) 1098.
- 9 H.J. Borchardt and F. Daniels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 79 (1957) 41.